Case 3:14-cr-00564-JSC Document 6 Filed 11/25/14 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 14 - 564 - 35C
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)
Juan Castillo Marin Defendant.	
Speedy Trial Act from New, 25, 2014 to by the continuance outweigh the best interest of	d on Nov. 25, 2014, the Court excludes time under the 2014 and finds that the ends of justice served the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § d bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to e	plex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial lished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
-	ould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ommitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time n, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	1 0 0 110
DATED: 11 (25/14	JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant Nathan Pari	Assistant United States Attorney